Madras. H-27—p5,606 31 10 50.

Rev. (1953) Department.

SERIES.

G.O. NO.

Memo No. 17189 G/53

d/31.3.53.

Dated

Confidential:

Revenue Department
Subject.

Narasinga Rao (Sri) K. - Officiating upper division clerk, Board of Revenue (Land Revenue) - Inclusion of name in approve list of Deputy Tahsildars for 1952 - Consideration - Appeal - petition.

Ref.No.D. 1510/53-1(c)

Station: Madras dated the 20th February 19

From

Sri W.R.S. Satthianandhan, I.C.S., Commr. of Land Reve
Sri A.F. Mascarenhas, I.A.S., Secy.Bd. of Revenue.

REFERENCE:

According to Annexure to Rule 7 (b) of the Madras Revenue Subordinate Service rules, one of the qualifications prescribed for the inclusion of names in the list of Deputy Tahsildars is that the candidate must have served in a post of lower division or upper division clerk for a period of not less than 8 years, two years of which should have been in a post of upper division clerk. Sri K. Narsinga Rao, a clerk of the Board of Revenue who was promoted regularly to the upper divisio cadre with effect from 1.6.49 served as revenue inspector from 8.5.195^ and completed the course on 5.6.1952. Excluding t the period of service as revenue inspector and leave, he has served as upper division clerk for more than one year, but less than 2 years. On the ground that he did not serve as upper division clerk for 2 years by 15.8.1952 he was not considered as one of the candidates eligible for inclusion in the approved list of Deputy Tahsildars for the Cityfor 1952. But the Board considers now that the matter required clarification.

2. The revenue inspector's post in the district is borne in lower division cadre. Only probationary revenue inspectors' posts are borne in the upper division cadre and supernumerary posts are specially created for the probationary revenue inspectors. The Board's office clerk when sent on deputation for revenue inspectors' course is given the upper division pay for the reason that he happens to be an upper division clerk of that office. As the revenue inspector's course is generally borne in the lower division cadre, it is doubtful whether the service in that post can be deemed as service in the upper division post though it can be taken as such on the ground that but for his deputation as revenue inspector he would have continued to hold the post of upper division clerk. The Board requests a specific ruling from the Government in the matter.

for Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

(True copy)

kd. 4.7. 6/7/53

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

MEMORANDUM No. 17189 G/53-4, dated 31.3.53.

Sub: Public Services - Madras Revenue Subordinate Service - Services as U.D. clerk for consideration for inclusion in the approved list of Dy Tahrs. - Clarification.

Ref: From the Board of Revenue No. D. 1510/53-1, dated (c) dated 20.2.53.

With reference to the point raised in its Reference quoted above, the Board of Revenue is informed that it should be insisted upon that every person should put in two years service as an upper division clerk exclusive of the period he spends on duty as Revenue Inspector for consideration of his claims for inclusive in the approved list of Dy.Tahrs.

C.R.K.
30.3.53.

To the Board of Revenue (L.R.)

(True copy)

kd. 1.7. 6.7.63

Revenue Department.

Public Servants - Board of Revenue (L.R.) - Sri K. Narasinga Rao, officiating upper division clerk - Eligibility for inclusi in the approved list of Deputy Tahsildars - Clarification.

From the Bd.of Rev.Ref.No.D. 1510/53-1(c) dated 20.2.53.

To U.S.

Please see the Board's reference in the c.f.

2. Under item (A) in category 2 (Dy.Tahsildars) in the Annexure referred to in Rule 7(b) of the M.R.S.S. Rules, one of the qualifications for inclusion of a person's name in the approved list of Dy.Tahrs. is that he must have served for a period of not less than eight years (including service as Revenue Inspector) in a post not lower in rank than the category of a lower division clerk, two years of which should have been in the post of an upper division clerk. If the candidate is a member of the Madras Secretariat Service or a member of the Madras Ministerial Service employed in the office of the Board of Revenue, he must in addition to the above qualification, hav satisfactorily completed probation, if any, in the post of Upper division clerk. In its reference in the c.f. the Board has enquired whether a clerk in its office who was an officiati Upper Division Clerk and who served as a Revenue Inspector draw ing pay as an upper division clerk and whose service in the upper division excluding his service R.I. on U,D. pay is less than two years can be deemed to be technically qualified for inclusion in the approved list of Dy.Tahsildars. The point raised by the Bd.of Rev. arises only in the case of the clerks of the Bd.'s office and not in thecase of the clerks of the Dt. Rev.Establishment or in the case of the ~~xxxxxxxxx~~ Secretariat clerks, as in the case of the former, they are hot eligible for promotion to the U.D. unless they serve as R.Is. and in thecase of the latter, they are not eligible ~~for promotion to the U.D. unless they serve as R.Is. and in the case of the latter, they are not eligible~~ to be sent out as R.Is. unless they complete probation in the U.D. which is two years in the case of direct recruits and probationers in the L.d.who are promoted to U.D. and one year in the case of approved probationers in the L.D. who are promoted to the U.D. In the case of the Bd's office clerks, this question arises because they are eligible to be sent out as R.Is. if they are approved probationers in the L.D. and service as R.I. is not a pre-requisite for promotion to the U,D.Clerk's post. Again in the case of direct recruits to the U.D. in the Bd.'s office, this question does not arises as they are eligible to be sent out as R.Is. only on completion of probation in the U.D. which is two years.

3. In para 2 of its reference on p. 2 c.f., the Bd. argues that as the R.Is. post is borne on the L.d. cadre it is doubtful whether the service in that post can be deemed as service in the U.D. Post though it can be taken as such on the ground that but for his deputation as R.I. the clerk would have continued to hold the post of U.D. clerk. The rule requires that a person should have put in not less than 8 years service (inclusive of service as R.I.) in a post not lower in rank than the category of a lower division clerk, two years of which should have been in the post of an U.D. clerk. In as much as th clerk draws U.D. pay while working as Rev.Inspr. and he would have continued as U.D. Clerk but for the deputation as R.I. there seems to be no reason why his service as Rev. Inspr. on U.D. pay should not be counted towards service as U.D. clerk for purposes of completing the two years' service in the U.D. which is necessary for making him eligible for inclusion in the approved list of Dy.Tahrs.

May not the same problem arise in the case of appd. probations in the L.D. cadre, among the Sectt. clerks? They may be deputed for RI's training after 1 years service in the U.D. cadre. Would they be eligible for being included in the DT's list, immediately after completing R.I's course?

C.R.K.
10.3.52.

To U.S.

Submitted. The same problem arises in the case of approved probationers in the lower division in the secretariat who are promoted to the U.D. and are sent for R.I's training after completion of probation (one year) in the U.D. This has not arisen so far for the following reason. The number of L.D. clerks in the sectt. is small compared with the number if U.D. clerks posts and an L.D. clerk does not remain in the L.D. for long to get himself promoted to the U.D. If he gets his promotion to the U.D. soon after completing probation for two years in the L.D. and goes out for R.I's training after completion of probation in the U.D. for one year and returns after working for two years as R.I. his total service will be only about five years and he will not be qualified for inclusion in the Dy. tahrs. list as he would not have put in a total service of eight years. The service he puts in after his return from R.I's. training to make up 8 years total service will be in the upper division and he will acquire the two qualifications, namely, eight years total service and two years service in the U.D., together even if his R.I's service is not treated as U.D. service.

I suppose the idea is that a person before he is considered fit for inclusion in the list of Deputy Tahsildars should have a fair amount of experience both in field work and in office work. That is why it has been prescribed that he should work for 2 years as an R.I. and also put in 2 years of service as an U.D. clerk. The present question raised by the Bd. is in effect whether it would be permissible to merge question of these 2 periods because the R.I. does the same work whether he drawn upper-division or lower division pay. In office work, however, an U.D. clerk is generally entrusted with more difficult and more important items of work, than a lower division's clerk. A person, in whose case, the merging above-mentioned is allowed, would not have had sufficient experience in dealing with important papers. I think we must insist that every person should put in 2 years service as an upper-division clerk (exclusive of the period he spends a Revenue Inspector) before his name is considered for inclusion in the DT's list.

C.R.K.
13.3.53.

S.K.C.
16.3.52.

Public (Ser) Department.

The Rev-Dept's note from p. 1 ante may be perused. 'A' above may be agreed to in this dept.

The file may be returned to Rev

xxx
25.3.53.
Rev.Deptt.

To U.S.

The note of Pub(Ser) above may be perused. A draft memo is submitted for approval.

6-7-53
kd. 4.7.

/true copy/

S.R.K.
30.3.53.